

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/747,426	12/22/2000	Balaji Raghunathan	0007056-0176/P5745NP/ARG	/ 1482	
26263	7590 06/17/2005		EXAM	INER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			NEURAUTER	NEURAUTER, GEORGE C	
	BOX 061080 CKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IL 60606-1080	-	2143		
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	82 C	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence	09/747,426	RAGHUNATHAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	George C. Neurauter, Jr.	2143
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3f ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2.	2 February 2005.	·
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 		19(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Appl	ication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bur	,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not rec	ceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	mary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/747,426 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-35 are currently presented and have been examined.

Response to Amendment

The affidavit filed on 22 February 2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Grant reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication 2002/0112058 to Weisman et al.

Regarding claim 1, Weisman discloses a method for a server to handle one or more client requests comprising:

obtaining one or more of said client requests for hierarchically organized data at a server; (paragraph 0138)

dividing ("parsing") said client requests into one or more smaller units; (paragraphs 0139 and 0147) and

Art Unit: 2143

servicing said units in order (paragraphs 0313 and 0314).

Regarding claim 2, Weisman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said client requests are in XML format. (paragraph 0138)

Regarding claim 3, Weisman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said hierarchically organized data is stored using a Document Object Model. (paragraph 0139)

Regarding claim 4, Weisman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said smaller units are placed in a queue. (paragraphs 0313 and 0314)

Regarding claim 5, Weisman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said server is a registry server. (paragraphs 0069-0073)

Regarding claim 6, Weisman discloses the method of claim 4 wherein said queue is handled using a FIFO scheduling algorithm. (paragraphs 0313 and 0314, specifically "Each event queue is sequential")

Regarding claim 7, Weisman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said units are defined by an XML <envelope> and an XML </envelope> tag. (paragraphs 1299-1312)

Claims 8-14 are also rejected since claims 8-14 recite a computer program product that contains substantially the same limitations as recited in claims 1-7 respectively.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,426

Art Unit: 2143

Claims 15-21 are also rejected since claims 15-21 recite a server framework that contains substantially the same limitations as recited in claims 1-7 respectively.

Claims 22-28 are also rejected since claims 22-28 recite a system that contains substantially the same limitations as recited in claims 1-7 respectively.

Claims 29-35 are also rejected since claims 29-35 recite an apparatus that contains substantially the same limitations as recited in claims 1-7 respectively.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, 20-25, 27-32, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication 2002/0069157 to Jordan.

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15, 22, and 29, Jordan discloses a method, computer program product, server framework, system, and apparatus comprising:

obtaining one or more of said client requests for hierarchically organized data at a server; (paragraphs 0254, 0305, 0320, and 0415)

dividing ("parsing") said client requests into one or more smaller units; (paragraph 0258) and

servicing said units in order (paragraphs 0305 and 0320).

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claims 2, 9, 16, 23, and 30, Jordan discloses wherein said client requests are in XML format. (paragraph 0217 and 0219)

Regarding claim 3, 10, 17, 24, and 31, Jordan discloses wherein said hierarchically organized data is stored using a Document Object Model. (paragraph 0217)

Regarding claim 4, 11, 18, 25, and 32, Jordan discloses .
wherein said smaller units are placed in a queue. (paragraphs 0346)

Regarding claim 6, 13, 20, 27, and 34, Jordan discloses wherein said queue is handled using a FIFO scheduling algorithm. (paragraphs 0346)

Regarding claim 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35, Jordan discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said units are defined by an XML <envelope> and an XML </envelope> tag. ("SOAP"; paragraph 0219)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sun Microsystems, Inc. "Java Message Service", version 1.0.2, 9 November 1999, 112 pages.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Neurauter, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3918. The

Application/Control Number: 09/747,426

Art Unit: 2143

Page 6

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9AM to 5:30PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gcn

BUNJOB JAROENCHONMANIT
PRIMARY EXAMINED